



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
NAHIM BONILLA,  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cr-00369-SPG - 8  
ORDER OF DETENTION

On November 7, 2024, Defendant Nahim Bonilla made his initial appearance on the first superseding indictment filed in this matter. He was represented by retained counsel Alan Eisner. Mr. Bonilla submitted on the recommendation of the Pretrial Services Report.

The Court makes the following findings:

1        ☒ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case  
2 allegedly involving a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum  
3 sentence of ten or more years.

4        ☒ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C.  
5 § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

6        The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable  
7 presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure  
8 the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the  
9 community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

10        The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will  
11 reasonably assure: ☒ the appearance of the defendant as required.

12                ☒ the safety of any person or the community.

13        The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s)  
14 charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of  
15 terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive,  
16 or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history  
17 and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger  
18 to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered  
19 the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

20        The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

21                Mr. Bonilla was ordered detained by the Southern District of Florida.  
22                He has presented no additional information; he did not interview with  
23                Pretrial Services, and thus the Court has before it no information that  
24                would rebut the presumption under § 3142(3)(2).

25  
26        IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained until trial. The  
27 defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement  
28 in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or

1 serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be  
2 afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of  
3 a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the  
4 person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will  
5 deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in  
6 connection with a court proceeding. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]

7  
8 Dated: November 7, 2024

9 /s/  
10 BRIANNA FULLER MIRCHEFF  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28